

WORK – LIFE INTEGRATION AND SATISFACTION AMONG BUSINESS LEADERS: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

Siriwardena HA¹ and Jayasekara BEA²

ABSTRACT

Work – life integration has become a crucial norm for business leaders, considering the growing complexity of contemporary work settings, technological breakthroughs and evolving roles of leadership. Effective work – life integration tends to enhance business performance, employee well – being and total economic productivity in addition to improving leadership effectiveness. However, despite its significance, high number business leaders find it hard to maintain a healthy balance between personal and professional lives. Poor work satisfaction and burnout have resulted from “always on” attitude, industry – specific expectations and digital connectivity that blurred the lines between personal and professional obligations. The study understands the critical literature gap that, most of the studies on work – life integration focused on general level employees rather than senior managers and executives. Furthermore, while prior research emphasizes the positive aspects like flexibility, this study highlights the paradox where more work – life integration results in intensification of workload. Additionally, this study seeks to contribute to the existing body of knowledge by illustrating the significance of leaders’ work – life integration in shaping workplace standards. This review aims to synthesize the findings from existing literature and critically analyse the conceptualization between work – life balance and work – life integration, key determinants of work – life integration, challenges and outcomes. The methodology used in this study is systematic literature review by using a sample of 60 articles published from 2000 – 2024 in this context. Findings reveal that organizational culture, technology advancements, industry – specific demands and societal norms have a significant impact on the effective integration of personal and professional lives of business leaders. While flexible work arrangements and supportive business policies enhance satisfaction, the paradox of work – life integration remains, whereby higher levels of flexibility results in work intensification rather than lowered workloads. The study provides recommendations for business leaders to establish clear limitations while using technology for business purposes and prioritize self – care. Organizations need to

establish a supportive work environment and conduct leadership supportive sessions on work – life integration such as coaching programs. Finally, the study offers insights for future researchers to conduct gender – specific research on work – life integration and its impact on overall performance of the organisations.

Keywords: Work – life integration, Business leadership, Job satisfaction, Organizational culture, Work intensification

Introduction

Work – life integration has drawn a lot of attention in management and organizational behavior research, since corporate leaders frequently encounter specific challenges in establishing a balance between their personal and professional obligations (Marc et al., 2024). As firms navigate an increasingly dynamic environment, the relationship between leadership practices and personal well – being has become an important field. Work – life integration emphasizes the interconnectedness of work and personal life and aims to bring them into equilibrium, in contrast to conventional work – life balance, which places a focus on separate boundaries between the two (Afif, 2019). In the present context of business environment, top level managers in firms have to be available outside of regular working hours due to elevated expectations brought on by rapid globalization, digital transformation and evolving workplace dynamics. Although advanced technology delivers greater flexibility, it also blurs boundaries, which may results in clashes between personal and professional lives (A. Chen & Karahanna, 2014).

Business leaders significantly contribute to the corporate culture, employee engagement and overall organizational success in numerous ways (Khurong et al., 2022). However, it is clear that their total performance, decision – making and job satisfaction are strongly affected by their capacity to maintain a satisfying work – life balance. Traditional perspectives have often defined leadership success through performance measurements. However, the growing prevalence of anxiety and burnout has led to a revision of these measures to incorporate emotional and psychological well-being as crucial components of effective leadership (Dewi et al., 2023).

Existing research has examined a number of strategies to assist leaders in overcoming these barriers, consisting flexible scheduling, remote work and well – being programs (Reimann & Abendroth, 2023). Additionally, these flexible work plans drive business leaders towards better performance and strategic decision making that directly impacts on organizational success. Despite this increased interest, there is still a requirement for a comprehensive analysis of factors and outcomes of work – life integration for business leaders.

In the current business context, leaders have to face unique challenges such as high standards, long working hours and requirement for constant availability, which may lead to stress, burnout and frustration (Hemachandran, 2023). Although, current business context has understood the significance of work – life balance of general employee populations, little attention has been given on how business executives balance their personal and work life and how it impacts their overall job satisfaction. There are gaps in available knowledge of the means which leaders manage these areas holistically as previous literature frequently emphasize work – life balance as a dichotomy rather than a constantly evolving process.

The study understands the gaps in the existing literature. Majority of the studies on work – life balance and integration focus on employees rather than senior managers and executives. Furthermore, there is a lack of integration perspective of work – life as most of the studies emphasize the prevalent work – life balance model which assumes a division between professional and personal life (Sham et al., 2024). The integration approach which recognizes the fluidity of contemporary work structures is yet to be examined. Moreover, even if significant amount of research on general well – being is done, fewer studies has specifically looked at how work – life integration affects managers' job satisfaction and their overall efficiency as leaders. Moreover, by synthesizing the findings from various studies, this study elaborates a novel concept that flexible work arrangements unexpectedly increase business leaders' working hours, which result in lowering the overall satisfaction. By systematically analysing the existing studies, this study seeks to bridge the gaps and provide comprehensive understanding on satisfaction and overall effectiveness of business leaders

in the context of work – life integration.

This systematic literature review aims to provide valuable insights for multiple stakeholders such as policymakers, business leaders and future scholars. This study offers an understanding on major factors that influence work – life integration and its impact on satisfaction by combining the findings from previous literature. The findings will support organizational decision – makers in establishing leadership support programs and policies that encourage a much healthier work – life approach. Furthermore, this review will contribute to the scholarly discourse by addressing the existing gaps in literature and suggesting future research directions for the study of work – life integration among business leaders.

Research Objectives

- To analyse the impact of work – life integration on overall satisfaction of business leaders.
- To conceptualize work – life integration and work – life balance
- To examine the key determinants of work – life integration among business leaders.
- To assess the drawbacks of work – life integration among business leaders.

Literature review

Conceptualizing work – Life Integration vs. Work – life Balance

The concepts of work – life integration (WLI) and work – life balance (WLB) have drawn a great deal of attention since they represent the evolving demands of workers in a dynamic workplace in modern human resource management. WLB has traditionally been identified as a separation between work and personal responsibilities, highlighting the requirement of individuals to maintain clear limits between two spheres in order to gain satisfaction and well – being (Andeyo & Otuya, 2020). On the other hand WLI explores deeper into the integration of personal and professional lives, aiming for a harmonious and integrated existence that recognizes the interrelated nature of numerous aspect of life (Aditya et al., 2023). This novel approach emphasizes that strictly separating work and personal life

may result in stress and poor mental health in today's interconnected world, especially when the needs of both areas frequently collide and intensify (Wepfer et al., 2017).

Studies mention that, according to the paradigm of work – life integration employees may gain more from blending their personal and professional life into a single whole rather than attempting to strike a balance (Pitre et al., 2017). WLI promotes flexible work arrangements such as remote working, enabling people to customize their working environments to suit their unique requirements (Aditya et al., 2023). According to research, these flexible work schedules have been proved to enhance job satisfaction as they offer employees the freedom to manage their personal and professional obligations more effectively (Mohanty & Mohanty, 2014). For example, research show that employees who have the option of flexible hours report better levels of job satisfaction than those who face firm work structures which demand long-term distinctions between personal and professional domains (Ashie, 2021). Thus, current discussion on these concepts suggests a major shift in how people and business leaders view these notions, demanding an understanding of not only their definitions but also its impact on productivity, well – being and overall satisfaction (Kumar et al., 2021).

Given the continuous debate and variances between WLI and WLB, a comprehensive examination about practical implications of these concepts is necessary, particularly with regard to their implications for individual and organizations. Work – life integration approach suggests that allowing for adjustable bounds may prepare individuals and firms more effectively to manage the barriers in the contemporary working life, even though WLB is associated with enhancing job satisfactions and lowered stress levels (Bataineh, 2019). Studies suggest that the assessment of the inflexible nature of traditional WLB approach fail to address the small details of individuals personal and professional lives, resulting in rising the feeling of failure and burnout among employees who aim to achieve predetermined objectives (Carinal, 2023). However, critics contend that WLI might end up in work occupying up more personal time, increasing stress and decreasing job satisfaction. Furthermore, incorporating personal pursuits during working hours may cause distractions and impair concentration and

productivity (Valcour & Batt, 2003).

To broaden the discussion on WLI and WLB, it is critical to address existing research gaps, particularly those related to how these categories work across demographic generations and workplace environment. According to current literature, the validation of these concepts across industries such as corporate, healthcare and educational sectors may offer insights into the ways in which contextual factors alter the efficacy of WLB and WLI activities (Melnick et al., 2020). Additionally, a more thorough understanding of interpersonal dynamics, contextual factors and individual personality traits may give important insights into how workers balance their personal and professional obligations (Amah & Ogah, 2021). It is clear that a deliberate move away from universal solutions and towards flexible arrangements that prioritize individual satisfaction in the workplace is a necessity to achieve an inclusive approach which address the dynamic needs of contemporary workplace (Aditya et al., 2023).

Key Determinants of Work – Life Integration Among Business Leaders

Work – life integration is getting more attention among organizations as modern firms are looking to establish business where managers and other leaders may effectively manage the high demands of their jobs while conserving their personal well – being. In this context, existing literature has identified several key determinants that impact on business leaders' ability to achieve optimal work – life integration.

Transformational leadership is a one key determinant of work – life integration among business executives. Transformational leaders foster a supportive environment that values team work, flexibility and open communication in order to create an atmosphere that is favorable for WLI (Sulton & Suyono, 2020). Past research has demonstrated a correlation between transformational leadership and enhanced work – life integration, indication that these leaders often raise the level of job satisfaction and morale of employees (Martanto et al., 2019). Studies suggest that transformational leaders can foster an environment where WLI develops by modeling actions that promotes work – life harmony and encouraging followers to establish personal and professional boundaries (Yadav et al.,

2022). However, although transformational leadership is positively affecting WLI, there are some possible challenges and risks. One noticeable concern is the possibility of emotional fatigue brought on by the high demands made of transformational leaders. Studies reveal that these leaders often try to motivate and inspire the middle level managers, which can cause high level of stress and burnout (Wang & McChamp, 2019). Furthermore, leaders may have the risk of losing their credibility and trustworthiness of their followers if they fail to follow through on their WLI obligations (Alzyoud et al., 2019).

Organizational support is identified as another significant determinant that influence on work – life integration of business leaders. This includes the organizational culture and leadership expectations. This organizational support consists of flexible working schedules, wellness programs and initiatives to enhance business leaders' mental and physical well – being (M. Chen et al., 2019). According to the previous analysis, firms that implement complete work – life supports strategies can improve employee satisfaction, which will eventually reduce absenteeism, turnover and boost job satisfaction (Kumar et al., 2021). Moreover, studies emphasize that leaders are more likely to successfully combining their personal and professional lives in workplaces where they feel supportive in aspects such as, time management, well – being resources and career development (Pitre et al., 2017). In spite of the positive effects to the WLI, in some situations organizational culture and expectations of business firms may affect negatively in WLI. For an example, ineffective flexible work arrangements may result in more uncertainty about the job roles and expectations which could lead to more stress levels among leaders than before (Wang & McChamp, 2019). Also, studies illustrate that in high ranked organizations where there is high performance culture may have adverse effects on leaders personal wellness by putting them under high pressure, demanding work-life balance, and possibly encouraging a culture of perpetual striving that can result in stress and burnout (Franco-Santos et al., 2022).

Furthermore, in the discussion on work – life integration, advancement of technology serves as a mixed blessing. On one hand, technology offers flexibility and connectivity to leaders, allowing them to do their jobs more

effectively in a variety of setting. And, it allows leaders to perform their tasks from anywhere, reducing stress and providing more personal time (Bulut et al., 2024). On the other hand, the broader adoption of digital technology might blur the boundaries between work and private lives of leaders because of the “always – on” culture, which results in more stress and less time away from work (Ho et al., 2023; Tiwari et al., 2024). Research suggests that leaders who are able to set clear technological limitations such as limiting after working hours emails, show grater job satisfaction (Kim & Chon, 2022).

In addition to the organizational culture and individual demands, the landscape of work – life integration is heavily influenced by external societal pressures and expectations. Studies suggest that societal traditions and cultural views on work, family and the roles of corporate leaders impact on how these business leaders negotiate their responsibilities (Shaikh & Rashidi, 2019). According to research, for business leaders, particularly in cultures where labor is highly valued, the pressure to perform professionally may hinder efforts to achieve personal satisfaction (Arun et al., 2020). Additionally, scholars have emphasized that this societal and cultural pressures may trigger conflicts between work and personal lives that goes on despite the organizational efforts to encourage balance, increasing the risks of stress and worsening mental wellness of business leaders (Buonomo et al., 2024).

Moreover, the expectations that are placed on business leaders by numerous industries may have an impact on their ability to manage work and personal obligations. Studies suggest that leaders who are in high – stake industry sectors such as, healthcare, technology and finance are dealing with greater job pressures which restrict their personal and family life activities while leaders engage in fields like consulting and education where there are flexible work standards, express greater satisfaction with work – life integration (Wayne et al., 2022). These disparities underline the importance of contextualizing WLI according to leadership roles and industry.

According to the findings of previous literature, WLI of business leaders is assessed by serious of factors that foster work – life integration while

presenting possible negative outcomes. Examining and effectively addressing these variables will create a stronger and motivated set of leaders that have the ability to handle the challenges of the modern dynamic business world.

Work – Life Integration and Job Satisfaction Among Business Leaders

Job satisfaction and work – life integration of business leaders have a complicated relationship that incorporate ideas of burnout and psychological well – being. Some studies suggest that the effects of WLI on job satisfaction become paradoxical as firms adopt to increasingly flexible work environments. Even though successful integration may enhance job satisfaction and improve psychological well – being, it can also have the opposite effects.

Psychological well – being and Burnout

Numerous studies have elaborated that inefficient work – life integration practices in organizations might lead to raised stress and burnout among business leaders (Schaufeli et al., 2008). Furthermore, according to research, an integrated strategy that respects personal time can greatly enhance life fulfillment in general by encouraging contentment and balance (Sirgy & Lee, 2017). Moreover, studies have emphasized that leaders who practice self – care and concentration claim to feel more resilient and satisfied at the work (Monroe et al., 2020).

Conversely, one major obstacle that highlighted by the studies is that not each and every one will gain benefits equally from work – life integration. For example, societal norms around domestic responsibilities make it difficult for women leaders to achieve sufficient WLI, which raises their risk of burnout (Skotnicki et al., 2024). Studies demonstrated that, these circumstances are more severe for underrepresented groups in leadership positions, which results in lowered life satisfaction and feeling of isolation (Roebuck et al., 2017).

Previous studies contend that, even though the individual coping mechanisms are vital, organizations should establish fundamental changes

in order to support the sustainable work – life integration (Fazal et al., 2022). For example, organizations that encourage leaders to take breaks, assigning work and self – care typically observed raised levels of job satisfaction and productivity (Samtharam & Baskaran, 2023).

Leadership Effectiveness and Employee Perception

The capacity of leaders to effectively integrate personal and work lives in the face of external pressure is strongly connected to their effectiveness. Effective WLI by leaders may generate a work setting that encourage job satisfaction, engagement and employee well – being (Vanessa et al., 2022). Studies have suggested that managers who effectively manage their personal and professional lives set an example for their followers as role models and promote a culture of well-being (Greenhaus & Ziegert, 2012). On the other hand, leaders' vision of their own efficacy as leaders may be harmed when they struggle with their own integration efforts, which ultimately affects their ability to assist their team members (Kermansaravi et al., 2014). Research shows that the supportive leadership practices have a favorable correlation with employee job satisfaction and retention rates, emphasizing input loop among collaborative dynamics, leader well – being and workplace culture (Secapramana et al., 2019).

Nonetheless, the contradiction that require leaders to execute in high – stakes situations while representing work – life integration can provide conflicting messages. Studies illustrate that employees view constantly connected leaders as busy, which could lead to inflated expectations and an obstacle to work – life balance of followers (Pramana & Putra, 2022). In order to ensure that leaders not only support WLI principles but also participate in them in a realistic manner, firms must work to foster openness and genuineness in leadership.

The Paradox of Work – Life Integration

Most of the studies theoretically explained that WLI allows greater flexibility, enabling leaders to combine professional and personal obligations (Ibrahim, 2015). However, some studies argue that this

integration may also raise the bar for business leaders, leaving their work more demanding (Adhikari, 2019). According to research, higher levels of WLI linked with higher level of work – related stress because of the ongoing connectivity (Bernales-Turpo et al., 2022).

Studies have found that business leaders who merge personal and professional boundaries can create a culture of constant availability and tend to work more hours than the regular working hours, may experience emotions of exhaustion and burnout (Tawfik et al., 2021). Moreover, some studies argue that rather than genuine work – life balance, leaders may view flexibility as an instrument of increasing productivity, which results leaders may impose voluntary work extensions to their current workload (Phina et al., 2022). The question of whether WLI is actually advantageous or just a way to pass off work extension as flexibility is brought up by this paradox.

Overcoming Challenges

In order to lessen the barriers for effective WLI, organizations can consider numerous tactics that promote a more positive workplace culture and enhance job satisfaction among leaders and their teams. Past literature suggest that leaders may manage their psychological wellness by defining clear boundaries, having open discussions about their demands relating to work – life balance, and making mental health resources available (Jaysan et al., 2024). Training programs for emotional resilience combining with organizational initiatives to raise mental health awareness may inspire leaders and facilitate the successful blending of personal and professional obligations (Fritz & Knippenberg, 2017). Moreover, providing tailored, flexible work schedules may increase the level of satisfaction and lessen the degree of stress associated with the job (Lestari, 2021).

Methodology

The methodology of this study is systematic literature review that uses a sample of 60 most pertinent publications published from 2000 - 2024 on the impact of work – life integration on the satisfaction among business leaders. The sample of publications are critically appraised and synthesized quantitative findings.

Results and discussion

This systematic literature review seeks to examine the relationship between work – life integration and satisfaction among business leaders in the contemporary business environment. The analysis has found a variety of multifaceted findings from existing literature highlighting the correlation between WLI and job satisfaction underlining the key determinants and how WLI impacts on the leaders' job satisfaction.

The analysis starts with conceptualizing the concepts of work – life integration and work – life balance. According to the findings from the studies, work – life balance is defined as an attempt to distinguish and strike a balance between work and personal life. On the other hand, work – life integration is identified as a novel approach that blends the personal life of employees with the professional life (Izzaty et al., 2025). Work – life integration often tends to emphasize flexibility and achieving balance between work and personal obligations.

The study identifies key determinant that influence on work – life integration among business leaders from previous research. According to the findings, corporate culture has become an important aspect of how well business leaders manage their personal and professional lives. In today's business world, work – life integration is facilitated with by companies with flexible policies such as remote work and wellness programs (Sabharwal, 2023). However, studies emphasize that work-centric environments created by high-performance cultures that need constant availability make it challenging for leaders to preserve their personal wellbeing.

Furthermore, digital technology is identified as both a driver and an obstacle for work – life integration among leaders. Research emphasizes that digital platforms allow business leaders with greater flexibility over their work schedules and reduce the time of engagement in work (Nam, 2013). However, literature also suggest that continuous connectivity has also given a way to a condition known as digital presenteeism in which executives feel pressured of being always reachable (Cooper & Lu, 2016). Studies mentioned that as a solution for these issues, leaders are setting their own boundaries for technology usage, and it has proved that those leaders show

a greater level of satisfaction than others.

The findings suggest that there is a strong correlation between WLI and job satisfaction, several studies emphasize that leaders who manage their personal and professional lives show a high level of satisfaction. Additionally, individuals who possess a balanced work – life ratio show raised performance and fulfillment at work (Soomro et al., 2018). This confirms past findings that a strong WLI can act as a crucial mediator between staff dedication and organizational support (Siagian et al., 2024).

Despite of enhancing job satisfaction by work – life integration, it has also raised issues regarding psychological wellness and burnout risks. According to the findings, leaders may unknowingly increase job intensification, which can result in burnout as they try to balance personal and professional lives together. For instance, the degree of stress and workplace fulfillment may negatively affected by high demanding work situations, particularly in industries where there are high expectations and demands (Stefanovska–Petkovska et al., 2019). Furthermore, according to Liu et al. (2019), work-family contradictions can have a negative impacts on life satisfaction since it frequently results in strained family connections, which further impairs psychological well-being (Liu et al., 2019).

Moreover, numerous studies have mentioned that the relationship between work – life integration and leadership effectiveness as a crucial phenomenon. According to the findings, effective leaders that demand WLI highly have been shown to improve job satisfaction and promote favorable employee perceptions. However, it is mentioned that leaders are in danger of weakening their teams' confidence and enthusiasm if they do not truly demonstrate these behaviors.

According to the findings from the studies, because of the WLI paradox, employees may encounter higher levels of stress and pressure at work while attempting to integrate, which might reverse the advantages of perceived job satisfaction (Agha et al., 2017). The study by Jaysan et al. supports this by revealing that leaders who manage their work and personal lives show high level of satisfaction, but such balance in work and life is hindered by high levels of job stress (Jaysan et al., 2024).

Conclusion and recommendations

This systematic literature review highlights the complex nature of the work – life integration among business leaders. Work – life integration offers great level of flexibility for business leaders but there are negative aspects as well, including role strain, higher work intensity and digital overconnectivity. The results show that a number of significant elements, such as organizational culture, technology limitations, and industry-specific requirements, are necessary for successful integration.

Organizational support plays a crucial role as business leaders who engage in encouraging workplaces with flexible schedules and well – being show greater level of satisfaction. However, the always on culture causes tiredness and hinders the effectiveness of business leaders. Additionally, although technological platforms make life easier for leaders with flexibility, it has also made leaders difficult to take a break from work and engage in personal activities. Moreover, the feasibility of work-life integration is also impacted by industry-specific issues, with executives in high-stakes businesses encountering greater hurdles than those in flexible ones.

A paradoxical situation in work – life integration is also illustrated by this review, that even though WLI is supposed to enhance the satisfaction levels, it may unintentionally lead to higher workloads. The anticipated benefits of integration are diminished when leaders who believe they have control over their schedules put in more hours. Furthermore, while proper work – life integration fosters a supportive organizational culture and leadership efficacy, over – connectedness may heighten employee expectations and reinforce work – centric norms.

The study aims to provide recommendations for policymakers, organizations, business leaders and future scholars. Business leaders are recommended to set limits on technology usage such as emails and video conferences platforms after working hours to prevent digital burnout. Also, their well – being and job satisfaction can be enhanced by practicing mindfulness, exercising and scheduling their personal time. Furthermore, business leader should encourage employees to incorporate work – life

integration practices by demonstrating similar practices effectively. Additionally, policymakers are recommended to actively promote work - life integration through provision of flexible work schedules, wellness initiatives and policies and regulations related to digital disconnection. Also, organizations need to provide leadership training through workshops and coaching programs on work – life integration in order to assist leaders in managing their professional and personal obligations. Finally, academics are provided with important insights to conduct future research on effects of work – life integration on long term leadership satisfaction and how it evolves over time. Additionally, research should be investigated on gender – specific analysis of work – life integration and how it affects on male and female leaders differently and find solutions to their specific demands.

References

- Adhikari, P. R. (2019). Quality of Work-Life for Job Satisfaction in Nepalese Commercial Banks. In *Management Dynamics*.
<https://doi.org/10.3126/md.v22i2.30240>
- Aditya, J., Triana, N. M., Handria, A., Yasmine, T. D., & Hapsari, K. D. (2023). *A Descriptive Study of Work-Life Integration on Full-Time Employees Implementing Flexible Working Arrangements*.
<https://doi.org/10.58959/icpsyche.v4i1.46>
- Afif, M. R. (2019). *Millennials Engagement: Work-Life Balance VS Work-Life Integration*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/sores-18.2019.67>
- Agha, K., Azmi, F. T., & Irfan, A. (2017). Work-Life Balance and Job Satisfaction: An Empirical Study Focusing on Higher Education Teachers in Oman. In *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*.
<https://doi.org/10.18178/ijssh.2017.v7.813>
- Alzyoud, A. A. Y., Ahmed, U., AlZgool, M., & Pahi, M. H. (2019). Leaders' Emotional Intelligence and Employee Retention: Mediation of Job Satisfaction in the Hospitality Industry. In *International Journal of Financial Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v10n3p1>
- Amah, O. E., & Ogah, M. (2021). *Understanding and Evaluation of Self: Role in Work–Life Integration*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-69113-4_8

Andeyo, L. M., & OTUYA, D. R. W. (2020). *Work-Life Balance: A Literature Review*. <https://doi.org/10.61426/sjbcm.v7i2.1624>

Arun, K., Kahraman Gedik, N., Okun, O., & Şen, C. (2020). Impact of Cultural Values on Leadership Roles and Paternalistic Style from the Role Theory Perspective / World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development (ESCI- United Kingdom). *World Journal of Entrepreneurship Management and Sustainable Development*, 17, 422–440.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/WJEMSD-10-2020-0128>

Ashie, A. A. (2021). Work-Life Balance: A Systematic Review. In *The International Journal of Business & Management*.
<https://doi.org/10.24940/theijbm/2021/v9/i3/bm2103-001>

Bataineh, K. A. (2019). Impact of Work-Life Balance, Happiness at Work, on Employee Performance. In *International Business Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v12n2p99>

Bernales-Turpo, D., Quispe-Velasquez, R., Flores-Ticona, D., Saintila, J., Mamani, P. G. R., Huancahuire-Vega, S., Morales-García, M., & Morales-

García, W. C. (2022). Burnout, Professional Self-Efficacy, and Life Satisfaction as Predictors of Job Performance in Health Care Workers: The Mediating Role of Work Engagement. In *Journal of Primary Care & Community Health*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/21501319221101845>

Bulut, S., Rostami, M., Bulut, S., Bukhori, B., Alitabar, S. H. S., Tariq, Z., & Zadhan, Z. (2024). *Work-Life Integration in Women's Lives: A Qualitative Study*. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.pwj.5.1.4>

Buonomo, I., Vincenzi, C. De, Pansini, M., D'Anna, F., & Benevene, P. (2024). Feeling Supported as a Remote Worker: The Role of Support From Leaders and Colleagues and Job Satisfaction in Promoting Employees' Work–Life Balance. In *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph21060770>

Caringal, M. G. L. (2023). Impacts of Work-Life Integration to Work Performance and Personal Life of Millennials in San Pablo City, Laguna. In

Cultural Communication and Socialization Journal.

<https://doi.org/10.26480/ccsj.02.2023.88.91>

Chen, A., & Karahanna, E. (2014). Boundaryless Technology: Understanding the Effects of Technology-Mediated Interruptions Across the Boundaries Between Work and Personal Life. In *Ais Transactions on Human-Computer Interaction*. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1thci.00059>

Chen, M., Krishna, S. M., & Yu, C.-Y. (2019). Work-Life Support: The Key to Managers' Happiness. In *Management Research Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/mrr-09-2018-0341>

Cooper, C., & Lu, L. (2016). Presenteeism as a global phenomenon: Unraveling the psychosocial mechanisms from the perspective of social cognitive theory. *Cross Cultural & Strategic Management*, 23, 216–231. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CCSM-09-2015-0106>

Dewi, N., Merta, I. K., & Suparta, I. N. (2023). The Role of Leadership Capabilities in Mediating Job Satisfaction and Core Competence on Business Performance. In *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan*. <https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v11i2.2083>

Fazal, S., Masood, S., Nazir, F., & Majoka, M. I. (2022). Individual and Organizational Strategies for Promoting Work–Life Balance for Sustainable Workforce: A Systematic Literature Review from Pakistan. *Sustainability*, 14(18). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811552>

Franco-Santos, M., Bourne, M., & Stull, D. (2022). *Performance management and well-being at the workplace*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24936-6_42-1

Fritz, C., & Knippenberg, D. van. (2017). Gender and Leadership Aspiration: The Impact of Work–life Initiatives. In *Human Resource Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21875>

Greenhaus, J., & Ziegert, J. (2012). When family-supportive supervision matters: Relations between multiple sources of support and work–family balance. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 80, 266–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2011.10.008>

- Hemachandran, K. (2023). A Report on Issues Faced by the Business Leaders in Today's Scenario. In *Strategies in Accounting and Management*.
<https://doi.org/10.31031/siam.2023.04.000576>
- Ho, D. A., Tran, L. N., & Ho, M. H. (2023). The Impact of People-Oriented Leadership Behavior on Employee Satisfaction in Small and Medium Enterprises in Vietnam. In *Journal of Organizational Behavior Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.51847/ohy3z9i3si>
- Ibrahim, D. (2015). An Overview of the State Influences on Workers' Work-Life Integration in Malaysia. In *Ijasos- International E-Journal of Advances in Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18769/ijasos.51674>
- Izzaty, N., Nor, S., Salleh, R., & Saleem, M. S. (2025). *Work-Life Balance and Work-Life Integration : A Comparative Analysis through Conceptual Distinction*. 16(1), 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.5296/bms.v16i1.22332>
- Jaysan, R. I., Sudari, S. A., & Pambreni, Y. (2024). Influence of Work Life Balance and Job Stress on Job Satisfaction of Employees at PT. Rukun Mitra Sejati South Jakarta. In *Best Journal of Administration and Management*.
<https://doi.org/10.56403/bejam.v2i4.179>
- Kermansaravi, F., Navidian, A., Rigi, S. N., & Yaghoubinia, F. (2014). The Relationship Between Quality of Work Life and Job Satisfaction of Faculty Members in Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. In *Global Journal of Health Science*. <https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v7n2p228>
- Khuong, M. N., Tung, T., & Nguyen, D. T. H. (2022). The Impact of Leadership Competences, Organizational Learning and Organizational Innovation on Business Performance. In *Business Process Management Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/bpmj-10-2021-0659>
- Kim, K., & Chon, M.-G. (2022). When work and life boundaries are blurred: the effect of after-hours work communication through communication technology on employee outcomes. *Journal of Communication Management*, 26.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JCOM-06-2022-0073>
- Kumar, S., Sarkar, S., & Chahar, B. (2021). A Systematic Review of Work-Life Integration and Role of Flexible Work Arrangements. In *International Journal of*

Organizational Analysis. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoa-07-2021-2855>

Lestari, D. (2021). Pengaruh Adaptasi Karir Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Melalui Kepuasan Kerja. In *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*.
<https://doi.org/10.26740/jim.v9n4.p1319-1330>

Liu, T., Zeng, X., Chen, M., & Ma, T. (2019). The Harder You Work, the Higher Your Satisfaction With Life? The Influence of Police Work Engagement on Life Satisfaction: A Moderated Mediation Model. In *Frontiers in Psychology*.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00826>

Marc, C., Bacter, C., & Buhaş, R. (2024). Work-Personal Life Balance Among Social Workers. In *Series Vii - Social Sciences and Law*.
<https://doi.org/10.31926/but.ssl.2023.16.65.2.11>

Martanto, J., Tippe, S., & Santoso, B. H. (2019). *The Effect of Transformational Leadership and Integrity on Quality of Work Life Government Internal Supervision Officers Republic of Indonesia Ministry of Law and Human Rights*.
<https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.29-8-2019.2289155>

Melnick, E. R., Sinsky, C. A., Dyrbye, L. N., Trockel, M., West, C. P., Nedelec, L., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2020). Association of Perceived Electronic Health Record Usability With Patient Interactions and Work-Life Integration Among US Physicians. In *Jama Network Open*.
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.7374>

Mohanty, K., & Mohanty, S. (2014). An Empirical Study on the Employee Perception on Work-Life Balance in Hotel Industry With Special Reference to Odisha. In *Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Management*.
<https://doi.org/10.15640/jthm.v2n2a5>

Monroe, C., Loresto, F., Horton-Deutsch, S., Kleiner, C., Eron, K., Varney, R., & Grimm, S. (2020). The value of intentional self-care practices: The effects of mindfulness on improving job satisfaction, teamwork, and workplace environments. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*, 35.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnu.2020.10.003>

Nam, T. (2013). Technology Use and Work-Life Balance. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-013-9283-1>

Phina, O. N., Monyei, E. F., & Muogbo, U. S. (2022). Flexible Work Arrangements and Workplace Productivity: Examining the Nexus. In *International Journal of Financial Accounting and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.35912/ijfam.v4i3.1059>

Pitre, C., Ladd, L. M., & Welch, J. L. (2017). Negotiating Work-Life Integration. In *Mededportal*. https://doi.org/10.15766/mep__2374-8265.10623

Pramana, I. G. N. A. A., & Putra, M. S. (2022). The Effect of Work-Life Balance on Work Engagement Mediated by Job Satisfaction and Life Satisfaction. In *International Research Journal of Management It and Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.21744/irjmis.v9n5.2179>

Reimann, M., & Abendroth, A. (2023). Flexible Working and Its Relations With Work-Life Conflict and Well-Being Among Crowdworkers in Germany. In *Work*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/wor-210908>

Roebuck, D. B., Smith, D. N., & Haddaoui, T. El. (2017). *Cross-Generational Perspectives on Work-Life Balance and Its Impact on Women's Opportunities for Leadership in the Workplace*. <https://doi.org/10.21423/awl-j-v33.a96>

1. Sabharwal, S. (2023). Remote Work Realities: Secondary Analysis of Employee Productivity and Well-Being. In *International Journal of Applied Research*. <https://doi.org/10.22271/allresearch.2023.v9.i12c.11661>
2. Samtharam, & Baskaran, S. (2023). Work-Life Integration and Workplace Flexibility on Life Satisfaction, Work Productivity, and Organization Commitment: Contextual Study Shatish RAO. In *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v13-i2/16340>
3. Schaufeli, W., Taris, T., & Rhenen, W. (2008). Workaholism, Burnout, and Work Engagement: Three of a Kind or Three Different Kinds of Employee Well-Being? *Applied Psychology An International Review*, 57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2007.00285.x>
4. Secapramana, L. V. H., Patricia, H., & Nugroho, E. (2019). Job Satisfaction, Job Fairness, and Job Evaluation as an Initial Step of Reward Management System Development: The Implementation of Quality of Work Life Concept. In *Asia Pacific Management and Business Application*. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.apmba.2019.008.02.1>
5. Shaikh, A. L., & Rashidi, M. Z. (2019). Religious Business Leaders in

- Pakistan: Theorising the Phenomena of Religiosity-Driven Business Practices. In *International Journal of Islamic Marketing and Branding*. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijimb.2019.10021633>
6. Sham, N., Salleh, R., Syahirah SS, S., & Saleem, M. (2024). Work-Life Balance and Work-Life Integration: A Comparative Analysis through Conceptual Distinction. *Business Management and Strategy*, 16, 39. <https://doi.org/10.5296/bms.v16i1.22332>
 7. Siagian, G. F., Setyabudi, C. M., & Mayastinasari, V. (2024). The Impact of Quality of Work Life and Work-Life Balance on Job Satisfaction: The Mediating Role of Perceived Organizational Support. In *Journal La Sociale*. <https://doi.org/10.37899/journal-la-sociale.v5i2.1053>
 8. Sirgy, M., & Lee, D.-J. (2017). Work-Life Balance: an Integrative Review. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-017-9509-8>
 9. Skotnicki, B. S., Wilson, P. M., Kazmerski, T. M., Prideaux, J., Manole, M. D., Kinnane, J. M., & Lunoe, M. M. (2024). Work-Life Integration for Women in Pediatric Emergency Medicine. In *Pediatric Emergency Care*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/pec.00000000000003106>
 10. Soomro, A. A., Breiteneker, R. J., & Shah, S. A. (2018). Relation of Work-Life Balance, Work-Family Conflict, and Family-Work Conflict With the Employee Performance-Moderating Role of Job Satisfaction. In *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sajbs-02-2017-0018>
 11. Stefanovska–Petkovska, M., Petrovska, I., Bojadziev, M., Schaeffer, I., & Misoska, A. T. (2019). The Effects of Organizational Culture and Dimensions on Job Satisfaction and Work-Life Balance. In *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.14254/1800-5845/2019.15-1.8>
 12. Sulton, M., & Suyono, J. (2020). The Mediating Role of Organizational Justice in the Relationship Between Transformational Leadership on Quality of Work Life and Absenteeism. In *International Journal of Education and Social Science Research*. <https://doi.org/10.37500/ijessr.2020.3514>
 13. Tawfik, D. S., Shanafelt, T. D., Dyrbye, L. N., Sinsky, C. A., West, C. P., Davis, A. S., Su, F., Adair, K. C., Trockel, M., Profit, J., & Sexton, J. B. (2021). Personal and Professional Factors Associated With Work-Life Integration Among US Physicians. In *Jama Network Open*. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.11575>
 14. Tiwari, M., Mathur, G., & Narula, S. (2024). Ramification of Work and Life Integration on Exhaustion and Work–life Balance Due to Covid-19